

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Ernest Lee Carroll,	)	C.A. #9:09-0199-PMD
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>
	)	
City of Columbia, et al	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

This matter is before the court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that defendants' motion for an award of attorney's fees be denied. The record includes the report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge made in accordance with this Court's Order of Reference and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report.

A review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the magistrate judge, it is hereby **ordered** that defendants' motion for an award of attorney's fees is **denied**.

**ORDERED**, that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is adopted as the order of this Court.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
PATRICK MICHAEL DUFFY  
United States District Judge

February 2, 2011  
Charleston, South Carolina